

Optimal Design of Energy Efficient Inductive Links for Powering Implanted Devices

Fabian L. Cabrera, and F. Rangel de Sousa

Radio Frequency Laboratory - EEL/CTC Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

January, 2014







Motivation

Implanted devices benefits:

- Chronic diseases treatment
- Health monitoring
- Brain-machine interfaces

Main goal: Noninvasive implants







Motivation

Implanted devices benefits:

- Chronic diseases treatment
- Health monitoring
- Brain-machine interfaces

Main goal: Noninvasive implants

Challenges:

- Miniaturization
- Autonomy

Batteries limit both!







Motivation

Implanted devices benefits:

- Chronic diseases treatment
- Health monitoring
- Brain-machine interfaces

Main goal: Noninvasive implants

Challenges:

- Miniaturization
- Autonomy

Batteries limit both!

One solution: Inductive Link Powering







Inductive Link



 Maximum power is restricted due to tissue heating

 Link efficiency must be optimized







How to design inductive links for optimal power transferring efficiency?







- How to design inductive links for optimal power transferring efficiency?
- ► Low frequencies are commonly used (<20MHz)
- Low frequencies require big inductors, that are not desired.
- Recently arose the possibility of powering the implants in GHz frequencies, according to the electrical properties of tissues [1].

[1] A. Poon, S. O'Driscoll, and T. Meng, "Optimal Frequency for Wireless Power Transmission into Dispersive Tissue", May 2010.







- How to design inductive links for optimal power transferring efficiency?
- ► Low frequencies are commonly used (<20MHz)
- Low frequencies require big inductors, that are not desired.
- Recently arose the possibility of powering the implants in GHz frequencies, according to the electrical properties of tissues [1].
- We propose a method for optimal design of the inductive links considering all the associated constraints.

[1] A. Poon, S. O'Driscoll, and T. Meng, "Optimal Frequency for Wireless Power Transmission into Dispersive Tissue", May 2010.

RWM �IEEE







- Formulation of the Problem
- Results
- Conclusions









- Formulation of the Problem
- Results
- Conclusions











$$\eta = \frac{Power \ at \ the \ load}{Power \ delivered \ to \ the \ link} = \frac{|I_2|^2 R_L}{|I_1|^2 \Re e\{Z_{in}\}}$$

when $X_L = -\omega L_2$:

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2} \right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$







$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2}\right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$
$$M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \qquad Q_1 = \omega L_1/R_1 \qquad Q_2 = \omega L_2/R_2 \qquad p = R_2/R_L$$

Function to be optimized

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_2} \cdot \underbrace{(p+2+\frac{1}{p})+p+1}_{p}$$







$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2}\right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$
$$M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \qquad Q_1 = \omega L_1/R_1 \qquad Q_2 = \omega L_2/R_2 \qquad p = R_2/R_L$$



Coupling factor squared







$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2}\right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$
$$M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \qquad Q_1 = \omega L_1/R_1 \qquad Q_2 = \omega L_2/R_2 \qquad p = R_2/R_L$$









$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2}\right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$
$$M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \qquad Q_1 = \omega L_1/R_1 \qquad Q_2 = \omega L_2/R_2 \qquad p = R_2/R_L$$

Function to be optimized $\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_2} \cdot \frac{(p+2+\frac{1}{p})+p+1}{p}$ • Coupling factor squared • First Inductor quality factor

Second Inductor quality factor -







$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{(\omega M)^2} \left(\frac{R_2}{R_L} + 2 + \frac{R_L}{R_2}\right) + \frac{R_2}{R_L} + 1$$
$$M = k\sqrt{L_1 L_2} \qquad Q_1 = \omega L_1/R_1 \qquad Q_2 = \omega L_2/R_2 \qquad p = R_2/R_L$$









• Formulation of the Problem

• Results

• Conclusions







Problem Formulation



Given:	The implanted inductor size	d_{avg1}, w_{ind1}
	The distance between the inductors	d
Find:	The external inductor size	d_{avg1}, w_{ind1}
	The load proportion	p
	The frequency	f
In order to:	maximize efficiency	η







Optimization Problem



Written as a Geometric Program:

minimize :
$$\frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_2} \cdot (p+2+\frac{1}{p}) + p + 1$$
 $\left. \right\}$ Reciprocal of the efficiencysubject to :(A) $F_{min} \cdot w_{ind1} \leq d_{avg1}$ $\left. \right\}$ Define the design space boundary(C) $d_{avg1} + w_{ind1} \leq d_{max}$ $\left. \right\}$ Define the design space boundary



Slide 11





Optimization Problem



Given data for this example:

- ► $d_{avg2} = 4 mm$
- $w_{ind2} = 0.5 mm$
- ► *d* was swept between 1 *mm* and 35 *mm*
- Material surrounding the link: air







▶
$$1/Q_1 = f_1(d_{avg1}, w_{ind1}, f)$$

▶ $1/Q_2 = f_2(f)$









Models

▶
$$1/Q_1 = f_1(d_{avg1}, w_{ind1}, f)$$

▶ $1/Q_2 = f_2(f)$
▶ $1/k^2 = f_3(d_{avg1})$











- Formulation of the Problem
- Results
- Conclusions





Slide 14



Geometric Program Results







Slide 15



Geometric Program Results









Geometric Program Results









Implementation and Test for d=15mm





2014 IEEE Topical Conference on Biomedical Wireless Technologies, Networks & Sensing Systems, Newport Beach, CA



Slide 16



Measurements



d_{avg1}	22 mm	d_{avg2}	4 mm	d	15 mm
Wind1	2.7 mm	Wind2	0.5 mm		
$f_{opt}(Sim.)$	398 MHz	fopt(Meas.)	415 MHz	Δf_{opt}	17 MHz
$\eta_{opt}(Sim.)$	40%	η_{opt} (Meas.)	30%	$\Delta \eta_{opt}$	-10%









- Formulation of the Problem
- Results
- Conclusions





Slide 18



- We presented a method for optimal design of inductive links, considering both the frequency and the geometry of the link.
- As an example, when the implanted inductor has a diameter of 4 mm and the distance between the inductors is 15 mm, the diameter of the designed external inductor is 22 mm and the maximum efficiency measured is 30% at 415 MHz.
- For the dimensions used as example, the optimal frequency is between 120 MHz and 1.5GHz. Which is higher than the frequency commonly used, but lower than the estimated frequency when only the tissues are considered.













2014 IEEE Topical Conference on Biomedical Wireless Technologies, Networks & Sensing Systems, Newport Beach, CA



Slide 20